



## Social Differentiation of Inter-word Yod Coalescence in Spoken Nigerian English

#### Rotimi O. Oladipupo

Bells University of Technology, Ota, Nigeria.

Abstract: This study attempts to track the incidence of inter-word yod coalescence and possibility of its correlation with social factors in Nigerian English. Three hundred and sixty educated Nigerian speakers of English, evenly distributed into social variables of gender, age and social class, provided data for the study. They were guided to voice five utterances and a short passage into digital recording devices. Tokens of yod coalescence produced at different word boundaries were extracted and analysed statistically, using percentages and the univariate Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). The findings reveal a very low usage (3.6%) of inter-word yod coalescence. The process was, however, more prevalent among young speakers and members of high social class who seem to be importing it into the accent. This finding points in the direction of some ongoing innovation in the NigE accent, which possibly suggests the onset of socially conditioned phoneticphonological variation.

Key words: Yod coalescence, Nigerian English, sociophonetics, social variable, assimilation

#### **1. Introduction**

It is an incontrovertible fact that Nigerian English (NigE) exists. And just like other New Englishes, it has developed some language features of its own, such as sounds, intonation patterns, sentence structures, words and expressions; and has also developed some distinct rules of language in communication (Platt et al., 1984). NigE therefore, possesses features that qualify it as a distinct variety of English comparable to the American. Australian. Scottish and other varieties. However, the concept of NigE still requires proper characterization, identification, standardization and codification. As Jowitt (1991:29) puts it, "of course, 'the accepted norms of usage is precisely what is at issue."

This paper is another attempt at extending the frontier of research on this variety of English, especially from sociophonetic perspective. The study shall attempt to answer the following questions:

(i) To what extent is inter-word yod coalescence attested in NigE? (ii) Is yod coalescence across word boundaries socially differentiated in NigE?

# 2. Yod Coalescence in English

The term 'yod' derives from *Hebrew* and is used to denote the palatal glide /j/. In English phonology, this phoneme is present in all C /u/ (in-between consonant and /u/) contexts at the underlying level, but manifests in different forms at the surface level, depending on the phonetic environment the lexical item and the variety of English involved (Glain, 2012; Simo Bobda, 1994, 2007). First, it is deleted (this is called vod dropping) in many varieties of English, after palatals (including palato-alveolars), e.g. chew /tfu:/; after /r/, e.g. rude /ru:d/; in Cl (consonant + l) sequence, e.g. *blue* /blu:/; and after alveolars (especially in General American (GA)), e.g. new /nu:/. Second, it may be retained (this is called yodpresence) after other consonants like labials, velars and labiodentals, as in beauty /bju:ti/, cute /kju:t/, few /fju:/, etc. (Wells, 1982). This, again, depends on variety and variation. Lastly, it may coalesce with other sounds (this is called yod coalescence), especially in an unstressed syllable, e.g. tissue /'tɪʃu/, gradual /ˈgrædʒuəl/, though now extending to stressed syllables as in words like tune. seduce.

pronounced as /'ffu:n/, /sɪ'dʒu:s/ repectively.

Yod coalescence, therefore, is a sub-category of place assimilation whereby alveolar sounds /s, z, t, d/ fuse with a following palatal glide /j/, either within a word or word boundaries across to become palato-alveolar  $/\int$ , 3, f, d3/respectively, as in issue /isju:/ becoming [1[u], educate /edjukett/ becoming [edzokeit], miss you /mis ju:/ becoming [mifu] and did you /did ju:/ becoming [did3u] (Hannisdal. 2006). The term. "yod coalescence," is a recent coinage for this process by Wells (1982: 2000) who limits its occurrence to /t/ + /i/ and /d/ + /i/sequences, as in situate /sitjueit/ → [stfueit] and educate /edjokett/ [edzokeit] respectively.  $\rightarrow$ Gimson (1980) and Cruttenden (2001), on the other hand, refer to it as coalescence, and extend its application to instances of /s/ + /j/and  $\frac{z}{+}$  +  $\frac{j}{s}$  sequences, as in *miss* you /mifu/ and sees you [si:3u] respectively. The same process is called palatalisation by Roach (1992) and Shockey (2003). It has been described as a process of simplification, a device by which consonant clusters are simplified in order to achieve or at least approach the preferred CV structure (Hannisdal, 2006; Lutz, 1991).

Specifically, there are three possible positions where the process is found in different

varieties of English. It may occur in an unstressed syllable within a word, e.g. education / edgo keifn/, statue ['stætfu] (as in many varieties of English, e.g. RP and GA): it is also found in a stressed syllable within a word, e.g. Tuesday /'tfu:zdei/, dew /'dzu:/, as in GA, Australian English, Irish English and, of late, RP, Scottish and New Zealand Englishes amongst others; its occurrence is also possible across wordboundaries, especially in rapid, casual speech, e.g. could you? [kodyu], what you [wptfu] (Cruttenden, 2008; Farnetani, 1999: Glain. 2012: Hannisdal. 2006; Simo Bobda, 1994 Wells, 2008).

Diachronically, yod coalescence dates back to the 17th and 18th centuries when the unstressed sequences of /tj/, /dj/, /sj/ and /zj/ coalesced, following borrowings from French (Gimson, 1980), thereby yielding, for instance, the following:

/sj/ - /j/ ocean, special, issue.

/zj/ - /3/ occa<u>si</u>on, mea<u>su</u>re, trea<u>su</u>re.

/tj/ - /tj/ na<u>tu</u>re, vir<u>tu</u>e, pic<u>tu</u>re.

dj/ - /dz/ sol<u>di</u>er, gra<u>du</u>al, e<u>du</u>cate.

This process, according to Wells (1997), subsequently spread to many other words and brought about the 20th century innovation whereby yod coalescence now

extends to stressed syllables and across word boundaries.

This study focuses on yod coalescence across word boundaries (herein referred to as inter-word yod coalescence) in Nigerian English.

# 3. Inter-Word Processes in Nigerian English

Words said in isolation. on most occasions, do take different forms and shapes in connected speech. This is because the pronunciation of a word in connected speech is subject to the influence of other adjacent sounds, especially at morpheme or word boundaries. A number of studies on assimilatory processes in NigE, in this regard, have identified certain cross-word features that characterise NigE speech. Laver (1968), for instance, found an overwhelming tendency for regressive assimilation (e.g. live coal [laff kəul]), absence of progressive assimilation of voice, extensive cases of assimilation of place involving plosives and fricatives (e.g. not possible [npp ppsibəl]) presence of regressive and voicing assimilation (e.g. make them [meig dem], black bird [blæg b3:d]). Jibril (1982) further claimed that all cases of assimilation involving place of articulation alone affect nasals only (e.g. in case [1ŋ keis], in may [Im mer]); assimilation of manner restricted to alveolar are consonants (e.g. [wul lark], don't

*like* [dpl laɪk], and that regressive assimilation of voice affects final plosives only. Josiah (2009) is another study on assimilation which found that instances of progressive assimilation of voice, place or manner of articulation, as well as nasalisation are normal occurrences in NigE speech. Oladipupo (2014)examines connected speech processes in NigE and argues that regressive devoicing, progressive devoicing, nasal assimilation and consonant elision are prevalent in NigE, cutting across ethnic and social considerations; whereas progressive voicing, alveolar stop assimilation, vod coalescence, tvoicing, smoothing, linking and intrusive /r/ are rare.

In spite of such number of studies on inter-word processes in NigE, vod coalescence across word boundaries (which is also a category of place assimilation) has not been so elaborately discussed. Laver (1968)contended that assimilation such as this year [ðiʃ jiə], a case of regressive place assimilation (palatalisation) found in RP, are hardly heard in NigE. Awonusi (2004) agrees that yod dropping and yod insertion operate in NigE though, but says nothing about vod coalescence. The only available reference made to the phenomenon is Oladipupo's (2014) claim that it is a minor process in NigE.

There is, therefore, a sparse literature on this category of assimilation in NigE. However, this cross-word process has been somewhat observed in the speech of some Nigerian speakers of English where, for example, God bless you and Is that what you want? are sometimes pronounced as [gpd blefu] and [IZ dot wotfu wont]. This observation is what motivated the investigation of this phenomenon in NigE. This study therefore, attempts to track the incidence of vod coalescence across word boundaries in spoken sociophonetic using NigE. aspect approach. of an phonological inquiry which Huber and Brato (2008) say is underresearched in the outer circle varieties of English but may turn out to be an essential component in the description and codification of NigE. The purpose is to establish its extent of use and possible correlation with social variables of gender, age and class in spoken NigE.

## 4. Sociophonetics

The term. 'Sociophonetics,' blend which is а of Sociolinguistics and Phonetics, was first adopted by Deshaies-Lafontaine (1974). It is an evolving research field that is concerned with studies that employ both sociolinguistics and phonetics methods - work at the intersection of sociolinguistics and phonetics. It attempts to demystify the Generative Phonology's pre-occupation with the analysis of the linguistic knowledge of the "ideal speakerlistener, in a completely homogenous community" (Chomsky, 1965:3), with no consideration for variation that exists between speakers of a language.

Sociophonetics studies socially conditioned phonetic variation in speech; specifically, variation in speech that correlates with social factors like speaker, gender, age or social class (Foulkes and Docherty, 2006). As an eclectic field, it is widely used among phoneticians to refer to descriptive accounts of variation in speech in different dialects, speech styles or speaker groups (Esling, 1991; Foulkes, 2006); employed and is among sociolinguists to refer to phonetically inclined variationist studies, pioneered by Labov, emphasises which interrelationship between speech form and social factors such as speaking style and the background or characteristics of the speaker (Labov, 1994, 2001).

Sociophonetic research is predicated on the fact that language varies, and that the variation is most evident at the level of phonetics. It is generally agreed that individuals pronounce sounds differently from one another and that it is difficult to find two identical voices or even two similar utterances of the same speaker. Thus. scholars have established that speech production can vary according to speakers' social background; that is, their gender. socio-economic age, status and ethnicity (Labov, 1966; Trudgil, 1974), as well as their groups and social networks leaning (e.g. Eckert, 2000: Milroy, 1987). Sociophonetic variation, then, represents a pattern of behaviour learned by speakers through the experience of using language in social interaction.

Socially-conditioned variation in speech has been examined at different levels of phonetics and phonology; that is, segmental, suprasegmental and subsegmental, though many of these research efforts overwhelmingly favour segmental categories. Studies in the sub-segmental direction have examined the effects of adjacent sounds on each other in a stream of connected speech, in terms of the relative duration, strength or temporal articulatory coordination of gestures. Nolan and Kerswill (1990), for example, discovered that assimilated forms produced by children from the lower status school were more than those produced by children from the higher status schools. Docherty & Foulkes (1999, 2005), from their work on stops in Newcastle English, also discovered variation in intervocalic and prepausal /t/ in

Newcastle and Derby, depending on a speaker's social group.

William Labov is generally regarded as the pioneer in this tradition. Many of the methods he advanced are still employed in sociolinguistics till date. However, the applicability of the Labovian model in a multilingual environment like Nigeria has been questioned by many scholars. This is because Laboy's studies were restricted to the American speakers' settings where most speakers are monolinguals and differing levels of proficiency in the language are not an issue. Besides, the kind of elaborate social class system upon which his studies were based is nonexistent in Nigeria.

Nevertheless, that does not imply that Nigeria is a classless society. Indeed, class difference is

somewhat intrinsic to the structure of any society, though at varying degrees and in terms of different factors which mav include economic, cultural or political. The type of class construed in this study is socioeconomic, which relates to the degree of access to income or wealth and occupation. These factors divide the society into the privileged and the less privileged. The more access people have to wealth or income, the higher their socio-economic status. international exposure and possibly, accessibility to quality education. It is against this backdrop the subjects for this study are divided into high and low socio-economic classes. It is believed that this will somewhat capture the type of social stratification existing in Nigeria.

Table 1: Frequency and percentage scores for Yod Coalescence

Contexts	1:	/sj/ –	→ /ʃ/	2:	/zj →	• /3/	3:	/tj / _	→ /ʧ/	4:	/dj/ →	•/ʤ/	Gi	and T	otal
			ε 360			ε 360			ε 360			ε 360			x 360
Variants	CL	UCL	3.2	CL	UCL	3.2	CL	UCL	3.5	CL	UCL	3.2	CL	UCL	12
Frequency	31	1049	1080	21	1059	1080	33	1047	1080	71	1009	1080	156	4164	4320
% Score	2.9	97.1	100	1.9	98.1	100	3.1	96.9	100	6.6	93.4	100	3.6	96.4	100

Keys: CL- Coalesced; UCL- Uncoalesced

### 5. Methodology

The participants in the study were 360 educated Nigerian speakers sampled through of English, purposeful stratified and techniques different from language groups in Nigeria. They were evenly grouped into social variables of age (young: 16-35; adult: 36+), gender (male; female) and socio-economic class (low; high) based on the responses obtained through the questionnaires administered to questionnaires them The contained socio-economic indices such as occupation (parents'

occupation for students and unemployed young participants) and position or level, the type of school (being) attended (public or private), residential location, international exposure and access television to cable The participants were guided to produce five utterances and a short passage (see appendix A), in which yod /j/ coalesced with /s, z, t, d/ at certain word boundaries into digital recording devices. Twelve potential inter-word vod coalescence sites extracted from the data were grouped into 4 contexts as follows:

## Contexts

#### items

- 1.  $/sj/\rightarrow/f/$  miss your, in case you, bless you.
- 2.  $/zj/\rightarrow/3/$  has your, those young, *ama<u>ze</u> you*.
- 3.  $(tj) \rightarrow /tj$  what you, that you,  $\cos t you$ .
- 4.  $/dj/\rightarrow/dz/$  <u>do yo</u>u, woul<u>d y</u>ou, coul<u>d y</u>ou.

The recordings were transcribed perceptually and analysed statistically, using percentages and the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). Scores were assigned to participants according to the variants produced. Each coalesced (CL) variant was allotted 1 mark, while 0 was assigned to the uncoalesced (UCL) forms. The total score for all participants in each variant was converted to a percentage, the higher percentage taken as the norm. In order to test for significance between each social category, their scores were subjected to ANOVA, at 0.05 significance level.

## 6. Analyses, Findings And Discussion

#### 6.1 Incidence of Inter-word Yod Coalescence in Nigerian English

Table 1 below shows the frequency and percentage scores for yod coalescence and the uncoalesced forms. In each cross-boundary context, incidence of yod coalescence was found to be very low. Only 31 (2.9%) tokens occurred in context 1; 21 (1.9%) in context 2; 33 (3.1%) in context 3; and 71 (6.6%) in context 4. Out

of the overall 4,320 potential yod coalescence sites (taking all 360 participants into consideration: 1080 tokens in each context), only in 156 (3.6%) cases did the participants use yod coalescence. The uncoalesced variants were preferred in 4,164 instances, constituting 96.4% of the total production. These results suggest that NigE speakers rarely employ inter-word yod coalescence in their speech.

#### 6.2 Social Distribution of Interword Yod Coalescence in Nigerian English

The social distribution of yod coalescence produced across word boundaries in the data was examined in order to establish possible variation in the use of inter-word coalescence yod among the speaker groups. Using the statistical package PASW statistics 18, the overall vod coalescence mean scores for participants by gender, age and class factors, were first calculated and, then, a univariate Analysis of Variance was performed (in order to test the significance of the with individual results). participants' mean scores as the dependent variable and gender, age and class as the independent factors. The aggregated mean scores for each of the social variables are presented in Table 2.

A comparison of both genders in Table 2 suggests that, with 0.511 mean score for female speakers and 0.356 for males, female participants used inter-word vod coalescence slightly more than males. If vod coalescence is assumed to be a feature spreading socially into higher social classes, as Altendorf (2003) claims, this finding is therefore expected, particularly in view of different assertions in the literature that women's speech tends to be more prestigious than men's (Hudson, 1996; Labov, 1990). However, the ANOVA results (see appendix 2) significant variation show no male and female between speakers. This implies that there is no significant gender variation in relation to yod coalescence at word boundaries. Therefore, the claim that women's speech is more prestigious than men's does not hold sway in inter-word yod coalescence examined here.

		Age		Class		
Gender	Young	Adult	Low	High	row means	
Male	0.544	0.167	0.178	0.533	0.356	
Female	0.867	0.156	0.033	0.989	0.511	
Column means	0.706	0.161	0.106	0.761	Grand Total 0.433	

Table 2: Mean scores for yod coalescence according to social factors.

The participants' yod coalescence mean scores in relation to age suggest that the trend is predominant among the young than the adult speakers. A mean score of 0.706 was recorded by young speakers, while adult speakers scored 0.161. This is confirmed by the ANOVA results which reveal а significant variation between both age groups (F(1, 352) = 25.53, p = .000);which means, variation in age grade of speakers is significant relative inter-word to vod coalescence.

A comparison of the inter-word yod coalescence mean scores for low and high social class speakers shows a wide margin also between both social classes. The low class speakers scored 0.106, while the high class speakers 0.761. These scored results suggest that the incidence of yod coalescence at word boundaries is considerably higher amongst the high social class than the low

social class. Predictably, the ANOVA results reveal a variation significant class in relation to the speech phenomenon (F (1, 352) = 37.054, p = .000).

The ANOVA results further show significant variations in the combination of gender and class (F(1, 352) = 7.752, p = .006), age and class (F(1, 352) = 15.354, p =.000); and gender, age and class (F(1, 352) = 6.125, p = .000)relative inter-word to vod coalescence (see appendix B). These mean that variation in each of gender and age factors, as well as in the combination of both, differs to a significant degree between the two social classes. It is clear from the above, therefore, that the significant variations observed in these interactions resulted from differences between the high and the low speakers (see appendices C, D, and E).

6. Discussion and Conclusion

investigation The into the incidence of inter-word vod coalescence in spoken NigE reveals a very low occurrence of this sound feature. This suggests that vod coalescence across word boundaries is not a common feature of speech in NigE. This appears plausible claim considering the fact that interword vod coalescence (like other connected speech processes), greater generally, requires gestural overlap which is often triggered when speech is spoken fast and sounds are linked with each other without junctures between them (Farnetani, 1999; Hannisdal, 2006); whereas, NigE speakers, according to Adetugbo (2004) and Oladipupo (2014), do not seem to have a penchant for speaking fast.

However, it was also observed that the occurrence of this speech phenomenon in the data was predominant amongst young and high social class speakers. If inter-word vod coalescence is, ordinarily, a function of speech tempo and, as earlier stated, NigE speakers hardly speak fast; then, significant occurrence of yod coalescence in the speech of these categories of speakers is unlikely to have resulted from rate of speaking. A possible source of this performance, therefore, is what Kerswill (1985) called socially differentiated **CSPs** (connected speech processes). Such speech features are likely to be discrete (not dependent on speech tempo) and may be adopted or avoided, because they speakers' are born out of awareness of their use. If this is the case, it suggests therefore that inter-word vod coalescence is somewhat becoming socially differentiated in spoken NigE; its emergence being championed by young and high social class speakers.

The prominence of this feature of speech amongst young speakers is understandable, in view of the claim in the literature that young people are linguistic innovators and agents of language change in speech community (Eckert, а 1997; Kerswill, 1996). They have also been described as casual and stylish in their speech, unlike speakers who adult aim at articulatory explicitness (Hannisdal, 2006; Kroch, 1978). This study, thus, compares with Kerswill (1991)the trend discovers in Cambridge English where a much higher incidence of coalescence was reported in both /d+i/ and /z+i/ environments for young speakers older than speakers.

Similarly, the significantly higher incidence of inter-word yod coalescence recorded by the high social class group in the data may be seen as a marker of social status, in view of Altendorf's (2003) claim that yod coalescence is a feature spreading socially into higher social classes. It is, possibly, a corollary of their social, academic, economic and international exposure or advantage over the lower social class.

Finally, since inter-word yod coalescence is yet to gain much currency in the NigE variety, as this study shows, it thus seems that young speakers and members of high social class are leading its importation to the accent. This

## References

- Adetugbo, A. (2004) 'Problems of Standardisation and Nigerian English Phonology.' In: A. Dadzie & V. Awonusi (eds.), Nigerian English: Influences and characteristics. Lagos: Concept Publication, pp. 179-199.
- Altendorf, U. (2003) Estuary English: Levelling at the Interface of RP and South-Eastern British English. Tübingen: Narr.
- Awonusi, V.O. (2004) 'Cycles of Linguistic History: The Development of English in Nigeria.' In: A. Dadzie, and V. Awonusi (eds.) Nigerian English: Influences and Characteristics. Lagos: Concept Publication, pp.46-65.
- Chomsky, N. (1965) Aspects of the Theory of Syntax.

discovery points to some ongoing innovation in the NigE accent, which possibly suggests the beginning of socially conditioned phonetic-phonological variation. And just before NigE is codified, it is pertinent that scholars search through the Nigerian speech community for the newest trends in the use of English, particularly those features that concern speech variation, in order to appropriately categorise the NigE accent.

Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

- Cruttenden, A. (1995) 'Rises in English.' In: L. J. Windsor (eds.) Studies in General and English Phonetics: Essays in Honour of Professor J. D. O'Connor. London: Routledge, pp.155–173.
- Cruttenden, A. (1997) *Intonation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Cruttenden, A. (2001) Gimson's Pronunciation of English. London: Arnold.
- Cruttenden, A. (2008) Gimson's Pronunciation of English. (7th edition). London: Hodder Education.
- Deshaies-Lafontaine, D. (1974) 'A Socio-phonetic Study of a Que'bec French Community: Trois-Rivie`res.' PhD dissertation, University College, London
- Docherty, G.J. and Foulkes, P. (1999) 'Newcastle upon

- Tyne and Derby: Instrumental Phonetics and Variationist Studies.' In: P. Foulkes and G. J. Docherty (eds.) *Urban Voices*. London: Arnorld, pp.47-71.
- Docherty, G.J. and Foulkes, P. (2005) 'Glottal Variants of /t/ in the Tyneside Variety of English.' In: W. J. Hardcastle, and Beck, J. Mackenzie (eds.) A figure of speech: A festschrift for John Laver. London: Lawrence Erlbaum, pp.173–197.
- Eckert, P. (1997) 'Age as a Sociolinguistic Variable.' In: F. Coulmas (ed.) *The Handbook of Sociolinguistics.* Oxford: Blackwell, PP.151-167.
- Eckert, P. (2000) *Linguistic Variation as Social Practice*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Esling, J. H. (1991) 'Sociophonetic Variation in Vancouver.' In: J. Cheshire (ed.) *English around the World*. Cambri- dge: Cambridge University Press. pp.123–133.
- Farnetani, E. (1999).
  'Coarticulation and connected speech processes.' In J.
  William and J. Laver (eds.), *The Handbook of Phonetic Sciences*. Blackwell Reference Online, 1-27. Accessed January 5, 2015.

http://www.blackwellreference.co

m/subscriber/tocnode?id=g97 80631214786\_ chunk\_g 978063121478612>

- Foulkes, P. (2006) 'Phonological Variation: A Global Perspective.' In: B. Aarts and A.M.S. McMahon (eds.) *Handbook of English Linguistics.* Oxford: Blackwell, pp.625-669.
- Foulkes, P. and Docherty G.J. (2006) 'The Social Life of Phonetics and Phonology.' *Journal of Phonetics* 34(4), 409-438.
- Gimson, A.C. (1980) An Introduction to the Pronunciation of English. London: Edward Arnold.
- Glain, O. (2012) 'The Yod: Palatalise it or Drop it!' Cercles 22, 4-24.
- Hannisdal. B. R. (2006)'Variability and Change in Received Pronunciation: A Study of Six Phonological Variables in the Speech of Newsreaders.' Television PhD Dissertation University of Bergen. Accessed August 20. 2010. https:// bora.uib.no/bitstream/1956/2 335/1/Dr.

Avh.BenteHannisdal.pdf.

Huber, M. and Brato, T. (2008) 'The Emergence of Social Varieties in Ghanaian English.' Paper presented at Accents 2008: II International Conference on Native and Non-native Accents of English, Łodz. Accessed October 10, 2010. http://www.thorsten-brato.de on.

- Hudson, R. A. (1996). *Sociolinguistics*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jibril, M. (1982) 'Phonological variation in Nigerian English.' PhD Dissertation University of Lancaster.
- Jibril, M. (1986) 'Sociolinguistic variation in Nigerian English.' *English Worldwide*, 7, 147-174.
- Joshua, U. E. (2009) 'A Synchronic Analysis of Assimilatory Processes in Educated Nigerian Spoken English.' PhD Dissertation University of Ilorin.
- Jowitt, D. (1991) Nigerian English Usage: An Introduction. Ikeja: Longman.
- Kerswill, P. E. (1985). 'A sociophonetic study of connected speech processes in Cambridge English: an data.' outline and some Cambridge Papers in Phonetics and Experimental Linguistics 4.
- Kerswill, P. E. (1991) 'The interaction of sociophonetic features and connected speech processes.' Non-Technical Summary

(Research summary), ESRC End of Award Report, R000231056. Swindon: ESRC.

- Kerswill, P. E. (1996) 'Children, adolescents and language change.' In: *Language Variation and Change*, 8, 177-202.
- Kroch, A. S. (1978). 'Toward a theory of social dialect variation.' *Language in Society* 7.17-36.
- Labov, W. (1966) The Social Stratification of English in New York City. Washington D.C.: Centre for Applied Linguistics.
- Labov, W. (1990). 'The intersection of sex and social class in the course of linguistic change.'*Language Variation and Change* 2:205-54.
- Labov, W. (1994) 'Internal Factors.' In: *Principles of Linguistic Change*, 1. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Labov, W. (2001) 'Social Factors.' In: *Principles of Linguistic Change*, 2. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Laver, J. (1968) 'Assimilation in Educated Nigerian English.' *ELT Journal* XXII, 156-160.
- Lutz, A. (1991) Phonotaktisch Gesteuerte Konsonantenveränderungen in der Geschichte des Englischen. Tübingen:

Niemeyer.

- Milroy, L. (1987) Language and Social Networks. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Nolan, F. J. and Kerswill, P. E. (1990) 'The description of connected speech processes.' In: S. Ramsaran (ed.) Studies in the Pronunciation of English: A Commemorative Volume in Honour of A. C. Gimson. London: Routledge, pp.295–316.
- Oladipupo, O. R. (2014) 'A sociophonetic investigation of Standard British English connected speech processes in Nigerian English.' PhD Dissertation University of Ibadan.
- Platt, J., Weber, H., and Ho, M. (1984) *The New Englishes*. London: Routeledge & Kegan Paul.
- Roach, P. (1992) Introducing Phonetics. London: Penguin English.
- Shockey, L. (2003) Sound Patterns of Spoken English. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Simo-Bobda, A. (1994) Aspects of Cameroon English Phonology. Bern: Peter Lang.

- Simo Bobda, A. (2007). 'Some segmental rules of Nigerian English phonology.' *English World-Wide*, 28(4), 279-310.
- Trudgill, P. (1974) The Sociolinguistic Differentiation of English in Norwich. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wells, J.C. (1982) Accents of English. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press,.
- Wells, J.C. (1995) 'Age Grading English Pronunciation in Preferences.' Proceedings of International 13th the Congress **Phonetic** of Sciences. 696-699. University of Stockholm: Stockholm.
- Wells, J.C. (2000) Longman Pronunciation Dictionary. New edition. London: Longman.
- Wells, J.C. (2008) Longman Pronunciation Dictionary. (3rd edition) London: Longman, 2008.
- Wodak, R. and Benke, G. (1997).
  'Gender as a Sociolinguistic Variable.' In: Coulmans, F. (ed.), *The handbook of sociolinguistics*, pp.127-150.

### About the Author

**Dr. Rotimi Oladipupo** teaches English at the Centre for Foundation Education, Bells University of Technology, Ota, Nigeria. He obtained a Bachelor degree in English (Education) from Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, and MA and Ph.D. in English Language from the University of Ibadan. His research interests cover Phonetics/Phonology of English, Sociophonetics and Sociolinguistics. He is currently investigating processes that characterise Nigerian English speakers' connected speech and how they vary along social factors. He has published scholarly articles in reputable local and international journals.

Email: olarotimi2002@yahoo.com Tel. +2340805 939 4971

## **Appendix A: Data**

- i. Utterances
- (i) You will **miss your** train
- (ii) Has your letter come?
- (iii) Those young men
- (iv) Wha<u>t v</u>ou need is a good job.
- (v) Woul<u>d y</u>ou leave here?

#### ii. Passage

- A. Good morning. I'd like to inquire about the advertised car
- B. Yes, we have the car here. Its features will amaze you
- A. Is the information about it valid?
- B. Yes, of course. It is equipped with power-assisted steering, which I suppose, is the most important piece of information **that you** need.
- A. Well, obviously, but...<u>do you</u> think it is really ice blue with darker blue inside?
- B. Oh... yes, this is the exact colour of the car.
- A. All right, then. Can I arrange a test drive for tomorrow?
- B. Y..es, you can have it tomorrow... It'll **cost you** ten pounds in **case you** don't buy it
- A. Ten pounds! **Coul<u>d</u> you** rather make it five pounds?
- B. Sorry, madam, we have a fixed price for all customers.
- A. Well...in that case, I'll be there tomorrow. Goodbye.
- B. Goodbye and God **bless you.**

Covenant Journal of Language Studies (CJLS) Vol. 3 No.1. June, 2015.

### Appendix B The ANOVA Table

#### Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	100.578 <sup>a</sup>	7	14.368	13.750	.000
Intercept	67.600	1	<mark>67.600</mark>	64.692	.000
GENDER	2.178	1	2.178	2.084	.150
AGE	26.678	1	26.678	25.530	.000
CLASS	38.678	1	38.678	37.014	.000
GENDER * AGE	2.500	1	2.500	2.392	.123
GENDER * CLASS	8.100	1	8.100	7.752	.006
AGE * CLASS	16.044	1	16.044	15.354	.000
GENDER * AGE * CLASS	6.400	1	6.400	6.125	.014
Error	367.822	352	1.045		
Total	536.000	360			
Corrected Total	468.400	359			

Dependent Variable:Yod

a. R Squared = .215 (Adjusted R Squared = .199)

### Appendix C Means: Gender \* Class

Dependent Variable:Yod

GENDER	CLASS			95% Confidence Interval		
		Mean	Std. Error	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
MALE	LOW	.178	.108	034	.390	
	HIGH	.533	.108	.321	.745	
FEMALE	LOW	.033	.108	<mark>1</mark> 79	.245	
	HIGH	.989	.108	.777	1.201	

Covenant Journal of Language Studies (CJLS) Vol. 3 No.1. June, 2015.

#### Appendix D Means: Age \* Class

AGE	CLASS			95% Confide	ence Interval
		Mean	Std. Error	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
YOUNG	LOW	.167	.108	045	.379
	HIGH	1.244	.108	1.033	1.456
ADULT	LOW	.044	.108	167	.256
	HIGH	.278	.108	.066	.490

Dependent Variable:Yod

## Appendix E Means: Gender \* Age \* Class

Dependent Variable:Yod

GENDER	AGE	CLASS			95% Confidence Interval	
			Mean	Std. Error	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
MALE	YOUNG	LOW	.289	.152	011	.589
		HIGH	.800	.152	.500	1.100
	ADULT	LOW	.067	.152	233	.366
		HIGH	.267	.152	033	.566
FEMALE	YOUNG	LOW	.044	.152	255	.344
		HIGH	1.689	.152	1.389	1.989
	ADULT	LOW	.022	.152	277	.322
		HIGH	.289	.152	011	.589